

Private—
outside negotiatives

2 July 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Intelligence

FROM :
Chief, Arms Control Intelligence Staff

STAT

SUBJECT : An ACDA Insight

STAT

1. This memorandum is informational, but I hope it causes you to think deeply. (U)

2. I had lunch yesterday here with Dr. M. Eimer, from ACDA. I invited him out because, generally, there is less chance of a nuclear weapon going off in my life when I have my hands inside the warhead tinkering with it.

STAT

3. He gave me a lot of theoretical mumbo-jumbo about his job and, in effect, about how--in the arms talks arena--"verification" is everything and "monitoring" is barely worth a dictionary definition. My perception is that, under Dr. Eimer's views on 1 July, the reporting of the Intelligence Community on arms talks issues--and especially CIA's ACIS--would go to his Bureau at ACDA and from there to the Director, ACDA and other senior officials after our product was considered and/or mixed with other materials. Whether my perception is correct or not, Dr. Eimer's final answers--to a set of questions from Senator Glenn--are powerful medicine. A copy of the Q's and A's is attached. If I were you, I would read this closely and pay particular attention to the answers to Questions #4, 9, 10, and 20. My sense is that this material should be made available to the DDCI and DCI, if they don't already know the punchline. Also, my sense is that Dr. Eimer and I (and perhaps others on both sides) are on a collision course in the future. When you get this memorandum, I will already have had a pow-wow with Mr. Gershwin on Dr. Eimer's problems--real and apparent--and at least I will be ready to discuss where at least ACIS goes from here.

STAT

4. I agreed to none of Dr. Eimer's claims, although Dr. Eimer tried hard to slip "agreement" past me. He's not too subtle; I noticed.

STAT

5. We did agree to meet regularly and talk. I'm going to ACDA for lunch on Thursday, 15 July. We may do this "lunch-bit" on the first and third Thursdays for a few months.

STAT

6. Potentially more important, however, is the following point. Dr. Eimer told me privately that he had been told of the results of a phone conversation

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

between N. Terrell and either you or [redacted]. My perception is his source was either in Norm's office during the call or listening on the line. In any case, the point is that N. Terrell told you or [redacted] that ACDA would have a verification bureau but would keep it tiny and, I believe, out of the Intelligence Community's hair. To whatever degree this story is true, there is a "leak." My sense is that Dr. Eimer resents the content of that leak. [redacted]

STAT

STAT

STAT

7. After I swept aside the howling at devils, Dr. Eimer and I discussed three problem areas he says he has:

- ACIS-produced views being at odds with NIE text
- speculation in ACIS papers not so identified or even complete
- separation in ACIS papers of facts from interpretations

I know you know my views on all three points; explaining is useless; I'll implement my instructions fully in due course. Dr. Eimer seemed surprised at my obvious answers. We'll see how this all flops out. [redacted]

STAT

8. If there is anything I may do for you here, please call and let me know. I'll keep you informed. [redacted]

STAT

STAT

Attachment:
As stated

